



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/721,009

11/24/2003

Mahesh Rajagopalan

03-1014

5652

25537 7590 11/27/2009

VERIZON  
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP  
1320 North Court House Road  
9th Floor  
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909

EXAMINER

GAY, SONIA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/27/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@verizon.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/721,009	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SONIA GAY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 64-66 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 30,60,63,68 and 69 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29, 31-59, 61 - 62, 67 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/20/2009</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Art Unit: 2614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is submitted in response to Amendment filed 07/20/2009. Claims 30, 60, 63, 68, and 69 stand allowed. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### ***Response to Amendment***

1. Applicant's amendment filed July 20, 2009 has been entered. Claims 1, 17, 31, 47, 61, 62, and 67 have been amended. Claims 64 - 66 have been canceled. Claims 1- 69 are still pending in this application.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. Claims 1- 16, 31- 46 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doganata et al. (US 6,798,753) in view of Carlsen (US 5,550,907), and further in view of Musa (US 2003/0208541).

For claims 1, 14, 31, 44, and 61, Doganata discloses a method, apparatus, and computer-readable medium for establishing a computer-enhanced conference call between a plurality of users (See Abstract), comprising: detecting a computer-enhanced conference call event that was previously configured by an initiating user to occur at a designated time in the future (See col. 2 lines 51-58, col. 4 lines 59-61, and col. 6 lines 31-39); contacting conference users (for example, if the conference is dial-out, the participants are contacted directly by phone and if the conference is dial-in, the participants are contacted via e-mail) associated with the computer-enhanced conference call event; receiving at least one response from the conference users to

Art Unit: 2614

accept the computer-enhanced conference call (for example, if the conference is dial-out, the participants respond by answering the phone and if the conference is dial-in, the participants respond with an e-mail either accepting or rejecting the conference); and establishing a conference call between the initiating user and the conference users based on the at least one received response (See col. 5 lines 16-29 and col. 6 lines 31-54). Yet, Dognata et al. fails to teach determining a most recently used device for a first conference user, the most recently used device being one of a plurality of devices used by the conference user; contacting the first conference user at the most recently used device; and, establishing a collaboration between the initiating user and conference users that are authorized to participate in the collaboration and receiving a communication from one of the conference users to record a message for play back to the initiating user.

However, Carlsen discloses a method wherein the most recently used device of a plurality of used devices is determined for the purpose of contacting the user at the most recently device ( Abstract; column 1 lines 49 – 67; column 2 lines 20 – 50; column 4 lines 66 – column 5 line 63).

Additionally, Musa discloses a method and system for the purpose of implementing real – time collaboration conferencing wherein a collaboration is established between the initiating user and conference users that are authorized to participate in the collaboration (See pg. 2-3, paragraph [0021] – [0022] and pg. 3, paragraph [0025]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Dognata et al. with the teachings of Carlsen and Musa for the purpose of providing a method for handheld applications and users of handheld applications to implement wireless collaboration conferencing including determining and

Art Unit: 2614

contacting a conference user at the most recently used device of the conference user to establish the computer enhanced conference.

In regards to claims 2 and 32, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein detecting a computer-enhanced conference call event comprises: scanning a data structure for the computer-enhanced conference call event (See col. 4 lines 16-35).

In regards to claims 3 and 33, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein the data structure comprises a calendar application (See Fig. 2 and calendar view user interface 22) associated with the initiating user (See col. 4 lines 16-35).

In regards to claims 4 and 34, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein the computer-enhanced conference call event comprises a trigger indicating a proposed computer-enhanced conference call previously scheduled by the initiating user (See col. 2 lines 51-58, col. 4 lines 59-61, and col. 6 lines 31-39).

In regards to claims 5 and 35, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein the proposed computer-enhanced conference call identifies the conference users and identifying conference users comprises: collecting identifiers for the conference users from a first data structure (See Fig. 2 and calendar view user interface 22) corresponding to the computer-enhanced conference call event; and collecting contact information for the conference users from a second data structure (See Fig. 2 and personal address book 33) based on the conference user identifiers (See col. 3-4 lines 66-5 and col. 4 lines 16-35).

In regards to claims 6 and 36, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein the first data structure comprises a calendar application (e.g., calendar view user interface 22) and

Art Unit: 2614

the second data structure comprises an address book listing (e.g., personal address book 33) at least the conference users and their corresponding contact information (See col. 3-4 lines 66-5 and col. 4 lines 16-35).

In regards to claims 7 and 37, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein contacting the conference users comprises: collecting contact information associated with the conference users; and establishing a communication connection with the conference users using the contact information (See col. 6 lines 3-9).

In regards to claims 8 and 38, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein the contact information comprises telephone numbers associated with the conference users and establishing a communication connection comprises: dialing out to conference users using telephone numbers corresponding to the conference users (See col. 6 lines 3-9).

In regards to claims 9 and 39, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein contacting the conference users comprises: sending notifications (e.g., via e-mail) of a computer-enhanced conference call request to the conference users (See col. 5-6 lines 66-2).

In regards to claims 10 and 40, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein establishing a conference call comprises: connecting calls to devices of conference users based on responses to the notifications (See col. 5 lines 16-29 and col. 6 lines 31-54).

In regards to claims 11 and 41, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein connecting comprises: bridging calls to devices of the initiating user and conference users that accepted the computer-enhanced conference call request so that the initiating user and the conference users that accepted the computer-enhanced conference call request may conduct a

Art Unit: 2614

conference call; and providing the initiating user with notification of any conference user that declined the computer-enhanced conference call request (See col. 5 lines 16-35).

In regards to claims 12 and 42, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, comprising processing a conference user declining the computer-enhanced conference call request by at least one of: receiving a communication from a conference user to record a message for subsequent play back to the initiating user; receiving a communication declining the request without any further processing by a conference user; receiving a communication from a conference user to set an alternate contact telephone number; and receiving a communication from a conference user to set a period of time in which the conference user is to be contacted again (See col. 5 lines 33-35).

In regards to claims 13 and 43, Doganata discloses the method and apparatus, wherein at least one of the calls is forwarded to a preferred device of one of the conference users (See col. 6 lines 3-16).

In regards to claims 15 and 45, Doganata discloses the method, wherein the sending comprises: retrieving data corresponding to the conference users; selecting devices associated with the conference users to receive the notification based on the retrieved data; and providing the notification to the selected devices for display on the selected devices (See col. 5 lines 16-29 and col. 5-6 lines 66-2).

In regards to claims 16 and 46, Doganata discloses all of claims 16 and 46 limitations, except the method and apparatus, comprising receiving a designation, from at least one of the conference users, of a preferred device to participate in the collaboration. Musa et al., however, does disclose receiving a designation, from at least one of the conference users, of a preferred device to participate in the collaboration (See pg. 3, paragraph [0026]).

3. Claims 17 -29, 47 -59, 62, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doganata et al. (US 6,798,753), in view of Wu (US 6,275,575), and further in view of Musa (US Patent Application, Pub. No.: US 2003/0208541 A1).

For claims 17, 27, 47, 57, 62, and 67, Doganata et al. discloses a method, apparatus, and computer-readable medium for establishing a computer-enhanced conference call between a plurality of users (See Abstract), comprising: detecting a computer-enhanced conference call event that was previously configured by an initiating user to occur at a designated time in the future (See col. 2 lines 51-58, col. 4 lines 59-61, and col. 6 lines 31-39); contacting conference users (for example, if the conference is dial-out, the participants are contacted directly by phone and if the conference is dial-in, the participants are contacted via e-mail) associated with the computer-enhanced conference call event; receiving at least one response from the conference users (for example, if the conference is dial-out, the participants respond by answering the phone and if the conference is dial-in, the participants respond with an e-mail either accepting or rejecting the conference); and bridging calls to devices of the initiating user and the conference users based on the at least one received response, wherein at least one of the calls is forwarded to a preferred device of one of the conference users (See col. 5 lines 16-29 and col. 6 lines 31-54). Yet, Doganata fails to teach storing data identifying a first device and a second device associated with a first conference user, the data reflecting that the first device is preferred by the first conference user for use during a first time period and the second device is preferred for use during a second time period; determining that a time period for the computer-enhanced conference call falls within the first time period; and, establishing a collaboration between the



Art Unit: 2614

initiating user and conference users at the first device that are authorized to participate in the collaboration and receiving a response that includes an alternate contact telephone number.

However, Wu discloses a method and system for the purpose of initiating conferences to preferred devices of conference users wherein stored contact information for conference users comprises a daily schedule with preferred devices and associated time periods of use (Fig.6; Abstract; column 2 lines 53 – column 3 line 12; column 6 lines 16 – 65; column 8 lines 38 – column 9 line 25, 50 – column 10 line 5).

Moreover, Musa discloses a method and system for the purpose of implementing real – time collaboration conferencing wherein a collaboration is established between the initiating user and conference users that are authorized to participate in the collaboration (See pg. 2-3, paragraph [0021] – [0022] and pg. 3, paragraph [0025]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Dognata et al. with the teachings of Wu and Musa for the purpose of providing a method for handheld applications and users of handheld applications to implement wireless collaboration conferencing while enforcing the constraints of wireless handheld computers including determining and contacting a conference user at a preferred device associated with a time period of the conference according to stored contact information comprising a daily schedule of preferred devices and associated time periods.

Claims 18 – 26 and 28 - 29 are rejected for the same reasons disclosed above in the rejection of claims 2 –9, 12, and 15 - 16.

Art Unit: 2614

Claims 48 – 56 and 58 – 59 are rejected for the same reasons disclosed above in the rejection of claims 2 – 9, 12, and 15 - 16.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-69 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SONIA GAY whose telephone number is (571)270-1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rasha S AL-Aubaidi/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Sonia Gay/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2614  
November 16, 2009